## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

NORFOLK, SS	COURT DEPARTMENT
DOCKET NO.	
	COMMONWEALTH
	V.
COMMONWE	EALTH'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEN FORFEITURE AND MOTION FOR FORFEITURE
NOW COMES the Commonweal 94C, 47(a)(5), 47(b), or 47(d) of t	th in the above-captioned matter, and states its intent to seek forfeiture under G.L.c he following:
the sum of	Dollars (hereinafter "monies");
VIN#	(hereinafter "vehicle");
Cellphone (type) of the District Attorney of Norfolk with G.L. c. 94C, 47.	(hereinafter "cellphone") to the Office County, an authorized law enforcement agency, for distribution in accordance
intended to be furnished by a pers	onwealth states that the monies, vehicle(s) or cellphone(s) were (a) furnished or on in exchange for a controlled substance in violation of G.L. c. 94C, and/or (b) exchange and/or, (c) were used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of 32J and /or 40.
Commonwealth's] burden in seek determine whether someone should 421 Mass. 1, 9 (1995). See also Commonwealth to establish a link must only show that the money was Mass. At 479 (further citation omiphone was probably purchases with the money was probably purchases which was probably pu	G.L. c. 94C, 47(d) is probable cause. The burden is "similar to [the ing an indictment and less than its burden at a probable cause hearing to ld be held for trial." Commonwealth v. Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Dollars, ommonwealth v. brown, 426 Mass. 475, 479 (1998); Commonwealth v. One Mass. 369 (1994). "The probable cause standard in 47 does not require the between the money seized and a particular drug transaction. The Commonwealth as probably derived from illegal drug transaction." Commonwealth v. Brown, 426 (itted). The Commonwealth also only needs to demonstrate that the vehicle or cell the proceeds of an illegal drug transaction, was utilized or was going to be utilized arcotics or to facilitate an illegal drug transaction.
The Commonwealth asserts that p	robable cause exsists to forfeit the subject monies, vehicle(s) or Cellphone(s).
For the above-stated reasons, the m G.L. c. 94C, 47(a)(5), (b) or (d) and	CONCLUSION onies, vehicle(s) or cellphone(s) are subject to forfeiture to the Commonwealth under the Commonwealth intends to seek forfeiture A proposed order is attached.
	Respectfully submitted, For the Commonwealth,
	MICHAEL W. MORRISSEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
	By: Assistant District Attorney